ORIGINAL



J. Alan Smith, Private Citizen 8166 Barranca Rd. Payson, Arizona 85541 (928) 302-8341 Hm. (928) 951-2083 Wk. In Propria Persona

RECEIVED

2012 AUG - 1 A 11: 00

AL COAP COMMISSION

Before the Arizona Corporation Commission Corporation Commission

COMMISSIONERS

Gary Pierce, Chairman
Paul Newman, Commissioner
Brenda Burns, Commissioner
Bob Stump, Commissioner
Sandra D. Kenndy, Commissioner

DOCKETED AUG 0 1 2012

DOCKETED BY

J. Alan Smith, Injured Party Complainant,

VS.

PAYSON WATER CO. INC./BROOKE UTILITIES INC.

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. W-03514A-12-0007

NOTICE OF COMPLAINANT'S THIRD DISCOVERY AND DISCLOSURE ARCP RULE 26.1 AND AAC RULE R14-3-109 et. Seq.

NOW COMES, the Complainant J. Alan Smith, to give Notice to the Commission and the Respondents of the Complainant's compliance with Rules of Discovery and Disclosure in these matters before the Commission. The Complainant makes presentment of his Third Set of Discovery and Disclosure of Witnesses and Evidence and reserves the right to Supplement Discovery and Disclosure with additional documentation, reference and evidence. The Complainant discloses the following:

TRIAL EXHIBITS

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Letter from El Caballo Club Mailed may 5, 2010	Pages	1 to 10
Payson Water Co. Billing Period Well Production 2009 and 2	010 Pages	11 to 12
1 st Set of Data Requests to Respondent Hardcastle	Pages	13 to 22
2011 ACC Water Staging Notice dated 6-June-11 @ 1:52 p. 1	m. Page 2	!3
PWC Document DRE-2 in Docket No. W-03514A-10-0116	Page 2	.4

WHEREFORE, Notice is given to the Commission and the Respondents that the Complainant has filed his Third Set of Discovery and Disclosure with Trial Exhibits Attached herewith and Supplemental Disclosure to follow shortly.

Respectfully submitted this 30th day of July, 2012

Alan Smith, in Propria Persona

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Original and 13 copies of the foregoing Motion have been mailed this 30th day of July, 2012 to the following:

DOCKET CONTROL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Copies of the foregoing Motion have been mailed this 30th day of July, 2012 to the following:

Robert T. Hardcastle P. O. Box 82218 Bakersfield, Ca. 93380

By: J.A.S.

ORIGINAL ORIGINAL

FENNEMORE CRAIG 1 A Professional Corporation 2 Patrick J. Black (No. 017141) 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 3 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 4

Arizona Corporation Commission

Telephone (602) 916-5000

DOCKETED MAR 3 1 2010

Attorneys for Payson Water Company

DOCKETEDBY

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

W-03514A-10-0116 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION DOCKET NO: W-03514A-10-

OF PAYSON WATER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF A WATER AUGMENTATION SURCHARGE TARIFF (MESA DEL CABALLO SYSTEM)

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF WATER AUGMENTATION SURCHARGE TARIFF

Payson Water Company, an Arizona public service corporation ("Payson Water" or "the Company"), hereby requests that the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") approve certain emergency interim relief, through the issuance of a Water Augmentation Surcharge Tariff ("Tariff"), that will remain effective only for the Mesa del Caballo water system, until permanent rate relief is granted. In support thereof, Payson Water states as follows:

- Payson Water is an Arizona corporation engaged in providing water utility 1. service within unincorporated portions of Gila County. Payson Water holds a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity, which was transferred to its parent company, Brooke Utilities Inc., by order of the Commission on June 19, 1998. See Decision No. 60972.
- Payson Water's business office is located at 3101 State Rd., Bakersfield, 2. California 93308. The number is (661) 633-7526. Robert Hardcastle is the Executive Officer and President of Brooke Utilities, Inc., the sole shareholder of Payson Water.

FENNEMORE CRAIG ROFESSION AL CORPORATION PHOENIX

3

8 9

11

12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

Mr. Hardcastle is responsible for managing all of the operational, administrative, financial and regulatory performance of Payson Water.

- As of February 28, 2010 Payson Water has approximately 1,502 active 3. water service customers, consisting primarily of single-family homes. As of the same date, there are 369 active water service customers at the Mesa del Caballo water system. Some of the Company's customers are seasonal, especially during the summer months. As a consequence, the number of active connections and customer demand increases substantially during the summer.
- 4. Historically, Payson Water's primary source of water for utility operations has been groundwater pumped from wells located within its service area. However, as the Commission is aware, water supply problems continue to challenge the Company. In fact, the Company augmented its water supply, at its own costs, through the use of water hauling trucks during 2009 for approximately \$59,137. A breakdown of the Company's water augmentation costs for 2009 is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. Because Payson Water cannot absorb further water augmentation costs without jeopardizing its financial viability, the Company has been working with representatives of the Mesa del Caballo Water Committee to formulate both a short and long-term plan for supplementing its water supply, including revisions to its Curtailment Tariff that would apply only to the Mesa del Caballo system. These revisions are believed, by both Payson Water and the Mesa del Caballo Water Committee, to be needed in order to best manage the available water resources for the water system. Payson Water has concurrently filed a Notice of Filing regarding proposed revisions to its Curtailment Tariff, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- Payson Water's present rates and charges for water utility service were 5. approved in Commission Decision No. 62401 (March 30, 2000). Payson Water's current rates and charges for water utility service are comparable to those of other water providers. The monthly minimum charge for a 5/8 x 3/4 inch meter for the Mesa del

P.O. BOX 193 1120 RODEO RD.

WILLIAMS, AZ 86046

invoice

DATE	INVOICE NO.
5/18/09	8745

BRL TO

BROOKE UTILITIES

PAYSON WATER COMPANY
P O BOX 8218
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93380

DELIVER TO		
- ACCIDENTO		
MESA DELL		
	•	:
•		
	-	;

13/08-01-7170-00

WATER HALLED	QUANTITY	RATE	THUCMA-
SVII THEO CONTROL			
2 LOADS -FROM THAN CHARLE TO MESA DELL 6 HRS EA.	12	150.00 i	1,400.00
LOADS-FROM TONTO TO MESA DELL 3.25 HRS EA TRAVEL TIME	25	£50.00 i 60 0.00 j	3,900.00 690.00
		!	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		į	
·			
		į.	
		· !	1
	ļ .		Á
	j	. [
[ž	1 1
		1	1
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	To	tal	\$6,300.00 Y

MESA DELL	29.25 1.50.00 487.50 4 1.50.00 600.00	Total \$5,477.00 y
PEARSON WATER CO. P.O. BOX 193 1449-169-189-189-189-189-189-189-189-189-189-18	WATER HALES 6-23-09 TO 6-25-09 FROM STARLING TO MESA DELL 1 @ 3-25 hours FROM TOPFO TO MESA DELL 9 @ 3-25 hours TRAVEL TEME	(2) 68-04-7170.00 - (2) which on with siles (3) 12 (25) to Buice (400)

P.O. BOX 193 1120 RODEO RD. WILLIAMS, AZ 86046

! &	ELITO .
1	
IBE	ROOKE UTILITIES
PA	YSON WATER COMPANY
D.	O BOX 8218
R/	AKERSFIELD, CA 93380
,	tento, idea, et inite
ŧ	
ı	

Invoice

DATE	RAYOICE NO.
7/13/09	8754

DELIVER TO

					
	WATER HALLED	QUANTITY		AMOUNT	
	07/11/-07/14/09	3.2	5 150.00	487.50	
	1 LOAD FROM STARLIGHT TO MESA DELL 3.25 HRS				+5362.50
ļ	10 LOADS FROM GISELA TO MESA DELL @ 3.25 HRS BA	32.	5 150.00	4,875.00	
۵	3 LOADS FROM GISBLA TO BAST VERDE @ 3.5 HRS BA	10.	5 150.00	1,575.00	
[TRAVEL TIMB 4 HRS		4 150.00	600.00	
	17 /08 -04-7170	o al	Total Cull 'upsibs.	\$7,537.50	AON
	f.q fr94869822		Peerson, Inc.	B10:01 60 30	Моч

Aug 20 09 02:04p

Peerson, Inc.

9286364611

p.1

PEARSON WATER CO.

RECEIVED

Invoice

P.O. BOX 193 1120 RODEO RD. WILLIAMS, AZ 86046 ANG 20 2009

BROOKE UTILITIES

DATE INVOICE NO. 8/20/09 6759

BILL TO
BROOKE UTILITIES
PAYSON WATER COMPANY
P O BOX 8218
BAKERSPIELD, CA 93380

DELIVER TO
MESA DELL ARIZONA

13/08.04.7170.00

WATER HAULED	QUANTITY	RATE	AMOUNT
07/21/09 THRU 07/23/09	.	-	
I LOAD FROM STARLIGHT TO MESA DELL @ 3,25 HRS	3.25	150.00	487.50
9 LOADS TROM GISHLA TO MESA DELL @ 3.25 HRS	29,25	150.00	4,387.50
Travel time 4 Hrs	4	1.50.00	600.00
		•	
			4
	1		
			M 1**
·			
	7	l'otal	\$5,475.00
	L		1

P.O. BOX 193 1120 RODEO RD. WILLIAMS, AZ 86046

₽,д

8599324811

	Invoice
DATE	INVOICE NO.
8/20/09	2760

BILL TO

BROOKE UTILITIES
PAYSON WATER COMPANY
P O BOX 8218
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93380

	DELIVER TO				
М	rsa deli	ARIZO	NA		
*		3			
l	ł .				

Peginon, Inc.

450:01 60:50 von

WATER HAULED	CUANTITY	RATE	AMOUNT	
07/27/09 THRU 07/31/09		0.00	0.00]
5 LOADS FROM STARLIGHT TO MESA DELL@ 3.25 HRS	16.25	150.00	2,437.50	926250
14 LOADS FROM GISELA TO MESA DELL @ 3.25 HRS	45.	150.00	6,825.00	772620
1 LOAD FROM GISELA. TO EAST VERDE @ 3.25 HRS	3.5	150,00	525.00	
Travel time @ 4 Hrs		150.00	600.00	
(3/08-04-7170.0		Total	\$10,387.50	

4

P.O. BOX 193 1120 RODEO RD: WILLIAMS, AZ 86046

BAL 10
BROOKE UTILITIES
PAYSON WATER COMPANY
P O BOX \$218
BAKERSPIELD, CA 93380

Invoice

DATE		N.	OKE N	9
9/16/09	T	7	87£3	7
			2	

DELIVER TO
MBSA DELL ARIZONA

13/08-01-7170.00

WATER HALLED	CHANDLEA	RATE	AMOUNT
02/02/09 Thru 08/06/09 14 Loads from Starlite to Mesa Dell @ 3.25 Hrs	45.5	150.00	6,825.00
5 Loads from Cisela to Mesa Dell @3-25 HRS Travel Time 4 HRS	16.25 4	150,00 1 50 ,00	2,437.50 600.00
		an a	
	1 1 5 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
		ı	
		.	
		 1	L MY
	1	Total	\$9,862.50

'our 'uogi po

geb 10 00 01:5gb

8399924911

f.q

PEARSON WATER CO.
P.O. BOX 193

I 120 RODBO RD. WILLIAMS, AZ 86046

BILLTO		٠.	
BROOKE UTILITIES			
PAYSON WATER O	OMPANY		
P O BOX 8218			
BAKERSFIELD, CA	93380		_
	**		***

Invoice

	DATE	IN	n die	10.
	9/16/09		\$766	
_				

DELMERTO

MESA DELL ARIZONA

12/08-01-7170.00

08/14/09/ THRU 08/15/09 1 LOAD FROM STARLITE TO MESA DELL @ 3.25 HRS 7 LOADS FROM GISELA TO MESA DELL @ 3.25 HRS 22.75 150.00 3,412.50 TRAVEL TIMES HRS 4 150.00 600.00	WATER HALLED	QUANTITY	PATE	AMOUNT
	1 LOAD FROM STARLITE TO MESA DELL @ 3.25 ERS 7 LOADS FROM GISELA TO MESA DELL @3.25 ERS		150.00 150.00	487.50 3,412.50
M. M.			••	
				MM
Total \$4,500.00		1	lotal .	\$4,500,00

9

P.O. BOX 193 1120 RODEO RD. WILLIAMS, AZ 86046

BROOKE UTILITIES
PAYSON WATER COMPANY
P O BOX 8218
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93380

Invoice

DATE	WYOTER NO.	-
9/16/09	2767	

DELIVERTO

MESA DELL ARIZONA

13/08-01-7170.00

WATER HAULED	QUANTITY	RATE	AMERINT
08/26/09 TERU 09/05/09 10 LOADS FROM STARLITE TO MESA DELL @ 3.25 HRS 14 LOADS FROM CESELA TO MESA DELL @ 3.25 HRS 1RAVEL TIME 8 HRS	32.5 45.5 8	0.00 150.00 150.00 150.00	0.00 4,875.00 6,825.00 1,200.00
	*		
	} }		!
	·		
i 1		0	W.
		du	
		Total	\$12,900.00

3**59932**4911

Peeraon, Inc.

q04:10 60 91 qe&

TOTAL - 56,525 50 55,515,03750

E.q

*

Payson Water Co, Inc 2009 Monthly Well Productions

January: 1'224'510 daily: 40,817

hourly: 1,700 gpm: 28.5

February: 1'132'400

daily: 37,746 hourly: 1,572 gpm: 26.21

March: 1'168'670

daily: 38,955 hourly: 1,623 gpm: 27.0

April: 1'325'580

daily: 44,186 hourly: 1,841 gpm: 30.68

May: 1'448'360

daily: 48,278 hourly: 2,011 gpm: 33.52

June: 1'142'660

daily: 38'088 hourly: 1,587 gpm: 26.45

July: 1'442'990

daily: 48,099 hourly: 2,004 gpm: 33.40

August: 1'250'230

daily: 41,674 hourly: 1,736 gpm: 28.94 September: 1'220'070

daily: 40,669 hourly: 1,694 gpm: 28.24

October: 1'177'430

daily: 39,247 hourly: 1,634 gpm: 27.25

November: 1'564'180

daily: 52,139 hourly: 2,172 gpm: 36.20

December: 1'454'260

daily: 48'475 hourly: 2,019 gpm: 33.66

09 Well Productions

Total: 15'551'340

09 Well Sharing Prod. Total: 7'170'350

09 Annual Report Pumped Total: 8'788'800

09 Annual Report Purchase

Total: 7'782'000

09 Annual Report Sold

Total: 17'346'000

09 Purchased Water Acct. No. 610

Total: 65'629

Payson Water Co, Inc 2010 Monthly Well Productions

January: 1'363'270 daily: 45,442 hourly: 1,893 gpm: 31.55

February: 1'092'250 daily: 36,408 hourly: 1,517 gpm: 25.2

March: 883,160 daily: 36,798 hourly: 1,533 gpm: 25.5

April: 1'349'350 daily: 44,970 hourly: 1,874 gpm: 31.23

May: 1'346'007 daily: 44,866 hourly: 1,869 gpm: 31.15

June: 1'114'630 daily: 37,154 hourly: 1,548 gpm: 25.80

July: 1'393'230 daily: 46,441 hourly: 1,935 gpm: 32.25

August: 1'342'000 daily: 44,773 hourly: 1,863 gpm: 31.06

September: 1'349'660 daily: 44,988 hourly: 1,874 gpm: 31.24

October: 1'181'940 daily: 39.398 hourly: 1,641 gpm: 27.35

November: 1'212'250 daily: 40,408 hourly: 1,683 gpm: 28.06

December: 1'164'620 daily: 38,820 hourly: 1,617 gpm: 29.65

2010 Well Production Total: **14'792'367**

2010 Well Sharing Production Total: 6'961'490 **2010 Annual Report Pumped** Total: **7'910'000**

2010 Annual Report Purchase Total: 7'262'000

2010 Annual Report Sold
Total: 14'714'000

2010 Purchased Water Acct. No. 610

Total: \$24,322

J. Alan Smith, 8166 Barranca Rd Payson, Arizona [PZ 85541] (928) 951-2083

July16, 2012

Robert T. Hardcastle Brooke Utilities, Inc. Payson Water Co. Inc. P.O. Box 82218 Bakersfield, Ca. 93380

In RE: ACC Docket No. W-03514-A-12-0007

Mr. Hardcastle:

Please find attached herein the Complainant's First Set of "Data Requests" pursuant to AAC R14-3-109, ARCP Rules 26 and 33.

Your responses to these Data Requests and Interrogatories are due not later than Seven (7) days following receipt of them.

These Data Requests are ongoing and continuing and your answers and responses or documents supplied in response to these requests should be accompanied with any additional information, records, documents or other printable materials that are associated with your answers and responses or that would otherwise come to your attention upon your answers and responses. Please do not conceal any items, records or documents as that may cause for you to be held in contempt of the Commission or cause for you much embarrassment at trial?

Thank You,

J. Alan Smith

J. Alan Smith Private Citizen,

VS.

PAYSON WATER CO. INC./BROOKE UTILITIES INC. **DOCKET NO. W-03514A-12-0007**

Complainant's 1st Set of Data Requests and Interrogatories to Robert T. Hardcastle

INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. The Data Requests and Interrogatories request all information including printed documents and data stored in computers or on electronic media that relate to the matter of the Data Request and is known and available to you in any form.
- 2. Respondents are requested in their answers to provide all available information without concealment, editing or blackout available from your records, officers, employees, agents and contractors.
- 3. A complete response is required to each corresponding question or subpart separately.
- 4. Data Requests are continuing and may require supplemental responses upon acquisition of additional information.
- 5. If you cannot answer the Data Request in full or in part state the answers as best you can and state the information you have concerning the unanswered portion.
- 6. If you believe any Data Request is ambiguous explain why it is ambiguous and your interpretations in responding to the Data Request.
- 7. If you refuse to respond to any Data Request please state your religious or legal foundation for refusal to respond and the type of privilege you claim and reason for refusing to respond.

DEFINITIONS

ACC: Arizona Corporation Commission.

Apportion: To divide and assign in proportion; to distribute among two or more apart or share to each.

Augmentation: The act of increasing or making larger by addition, expansion, or dilation; the act of adding to or enlarging; the augmentation of territory is the act of adding other territory to it. Vejar v Mound City L & W Asso, 97 Cal 659, 32 P 713.

BUI: Brooke Utilities Inc.

CC&N: Certificate of Convenience and Necessity.

Comparison: The act of bringing together for the purpose of observing not only likenesses, but differences as well. Succession of Baker, 129 La 74, 82, 55 So 714.

Compare: 1) to liken; 2) to examine for similarities or differences; 3) to form the degrees of comparison

Comparison: 1) a comparing or being compared; 2) likeness; similarity; 3) change in a adjective or adverb to show the positive, comparative, and superlative degrees in comparison with, compared with.

Document: writings, records, reports, drawings, books, photos, accounting statements, electronic media etc. of every kind in your possession or available to you.

DR: Data Request

Identify: In reference to any person (natural or artificial) please give their a) name, b) address; c) telephone number(s); relationship to Respondents.

MDC: The Community of Mesa del Caballo.

MDC WC: Mesa del Caballo Water Committee.

Prorate: To divide or distribute proportionately; to assess pro rata. Rosenberg v Frank, 58 Cal 387,405.

Pro rata: In proportion; proportionately according to the share, interest, or liability of each person concerned. Home Ins. Co. v Continental Ins. Co 180 NY 389, 73 NE 65. In proportion to some rate of standard, fixed in the mind of the person speaking or writing, manifested by the words spoken or written, according to which rate or standard the allowance is to be made or calculated. Rosenberg v Frank, 58 Cal 387, 406.

Proportion: A part; a share. Equality, between rations.

Proportional Rate: One carrier's part, of a through rate over the lines of two or more carriers. Hocking Valley R. Co. v. Lackawanna Coal & Lumber Co. (CA4 W Va.) 224 F 930.

PWC: Payson Water Co. Inc.

Supplemental: Added to supply a deficiency, or defect.

DATA REQUESTS:

1.0: According to Payson Water Co. Inc., 2007 to 2010 Annual Reports the Mesa del Caballo System ADEQ PWS 04-030 lists Seven wells in production and Three other wells as sources of water: a) JO 55-588967; b) Behm 55-560398; and c) ECC 04030 i.e. Water Sharing Partner aka Water Sharing Agreement.

- 1.0.1: Please produce copies of the Water Sharing Agreements between Payson Water Co. Inc., or Brooke Utilities, Inc. and: a) Lisa Harmon or John Olson for Well No. 55-553798; and b) El Caballo Club well No. 55-585747 and any records of payment to the well owners for the Behm Well 55-560398, JO 55-588967; Lisa Harmon or John Olson Well No. 55-553798; El Caballo Club 55-585747 for the years 2002 through 2011.
- 1.0.2: Please explain why the following wells are not now nor have they been in production from at least 2007 through 2012 and why nothing has been done by the Company to bring them back into production:
 - 1. 55-801698 on (Tract E) 302-34-423;
 - 2. 55-801699 on (Lot 17) 302-34-33B;
 - 3. 55-63112 on (Lot 17) 302-34-33A;
 - 4. 55-531101 (Registered to United Utilities) on 8095 E. Barranca Rd.;

- 1.0.2.1: If the Customer is allowed only 97 gallons per day, then over a 30 day period that equals 2,910 gallons. Under what part of the Curtailment Plan do you derive the authority to shut my water off for using 4,000 gallons a month, (30) days that is equal to 133.33 gallons per day?
- 1.0.2.2: Produce copy of the check from Brooke Utilities, Inc. or Payson Water Co. Inc. to reimburse the Customer.
- 1.0.2.3: Can the Company's meter determine the difference between indoor and outdoor water use?
- 1.0.2.4: Can it distinguish between actual use and a break in the water line?
- 1.0.2.5: In 2010 the Respondents contracted with Zonge Engineering and Southwest Ground-Water Consultants, Inc. to conduct a study to determine the locations of underground water reserves and essentially the best locations in MDC to drill for water. According to that study and results Two (2) primary Sites were selected by the Respondents to drill deep wells (approximately 1,200ft. deep). Deep Well Drilling was presented to the Public as an alternative solution in the July and August 2011 Public Meetings.

The locations of the proposed "Deep Wells" are at or almost identical to the sites of BUI/PWC Wells No. 55-801698 on (Tract E) 302-34-423; 55-801699 on (Lot 17) 302-34-33B; 55-63112 on (Lot 17) 302-34-33A that have been out of production since 2007 or longer. Please explain why the Company has never invested the money to deepen these wells or drill new ones or "Hydro-Frack" any of the wells that have decreased in production to increase production knowing full well after the study that there existed a high probability of success in drilling to depths of 400 to 1,000 ft?

- 1.0.2.6: If your Driller Brandon Moore said Hydro-Fracking is a "crap shoot" did he substantiate his opinion with any documentation and did you bother to consult with another Driller like Chris Miller who does Hydro-Fracking with excellent positive results and who in fact Hydro-Fracked Well No. 55-631113 prior to Brooke's ownership of the System? If not, why not?
- 1.0.2.7: You made representations at the Hearing held on June 26 and 27, 2012 that the studies conducted as referenced above in DR 1.0.2.5 were not promising or economically feasible. Was that not a misrepresentation of material fact?
- 1.0.2.8: Can you produce an expert opinion to confirm your claims? Is your opinion based on your highly inflated cost projections submitted at the public meetings that you and the MDCWC sponsored to hedge your Customers towards the C. C. Cragin Pipeline project? Please explain in detail?
- 1.0.3: Why do the Respondents in their Annual Reports 2006 to 2010 list the well at Lot 164 (8170 Gunsight Ridge) Well No. 553798 Registered to Lisa Harmon; as a well owned and operated as a U. S. Geological Survey well on the San Pedro River in Cochise County and in your Well Production Reports of 2009 to 2011 as 55-558967 a well that is Registered to Bill Huddelston and never drilled (Lot 26 Vista del Norte) and do not list that well as a WSA well under its proper well number designation?

- 1.0.4: In you Annual Reports for 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 you list a well referred to as "JO 55-588967" in your Report of "Other Water Sources" and that you purchased or obtained an average of 5,000,000 gallons per year from this well. Please explain how you purchase water from a U. S. Geological Survey "Monitor Well" located in Cochise Co. on the San Pedro River and transport it to the MDC System and please provide copy of the Water Sharing Agreement?
- 1.1: In a letter from the El Caballo Club, members and Water Committee not dated but Post Marked May 5, 2010 they claim the Community has a "meager and dwindling supply of water" and that they "established percentages of usage to further assist in making sure that each household has adequate water" and that "the geophysical and hydrological studies don't look too promising." Do you agree with these statements? If so please explain? If not please explain?
- 1.1.2: Can you explain why certain documentation submitted by you and by Mr. Gehring in Mr. Gehring's and the Jones Complaint at the Hearing on June 26 and 27, 2012 confirm beyond any reasonable doubt that water was hauled to the East Verde Park System and billed to your Customers in the Mesa del Caballo System?
- 1.1.3: Can you explain why there was an average daily surplus of water in the amount of 8,731 gallons per day during the entire Augmentation period of 2011 and why hauling water was even necessary?
- 1.1.4: How much water was actually hauled to the East Verde Park System during the Augmentation Period of 2011?
- 1.1.5: Provide copy of the Contract or Agreement between Payson Water Co. Inc. or Brooke Utilities, Inc. and Pearson Water Co. to haul water to supplement or augment any of the Payson Water Co. Inc. Systems.
- 1.2: According to your Well Production Reports for 2009 through 2011 and the corresponding worksheets produced by Mr. Gehring, Mr. Burt and myself that were submitted as evidence in Docket No. W-03514A-12-0008 at the Hearing of June 26 and 27, 2012 during the "Augmentation Billing Period" your wells and the Water Sharing Agreement wells produced 6,106,080 gallons which your own evidence confirms and according to your documents submitted in evidence at the Hearing the "Revised Consumption" of the MDC System was 5,345,294 gallons. The difference being that there existed an 824,231 gallon Surplus of water throughout the entire 2011 "Augmentation Billing Period."
- 1.2.1: Do you have a massive leak in the Mesa del Caballo System that you have neglected or refused to repair?
- 1.2.2: Did you or Mr. Allred instruct Pearson Water Co. and its owner and employees to haul water out of the MDC System to other locations?

- 1.2.3: Can you produce any evidence that the hauling of 701,900 gallons of water to the MDC System during the 2011 Augmentation Period was even necessary? If so, please produce it?
 - 1.2.4: Please provide copy of Payson Water Co. Inc. Certificate of Conveyance and Necessity?
- 1.2.5: You made representations to the Commission in 2005 that the water shortage in the MDC system is comparable to the Pine and Strawberry water shortage. Please provide any documentation that the now PSWID has a water shortage and still hauls water to supplement the system and that they did not have to make extensive repairs and drill new wells where you claimed there was no water.
- 1.2.6: Produce and provide all documentation that a water shortage in the MDC system has increased since 2005 where you were granted an economic penalty to impose on Customers of the MDC system.
- 1.3: In 2010 as part of the Curtailment Tariff you persuaded the Commission to increase the economic penalty for various Stage Violations. Please provide any documentation that supports a justification to impose any economic sanctions on the Customers of the MDC system for failure to comply with Stages 3 through 5.
- 1.4: Please provide documentation and accounting for all Curtailment Tariff Fines and Reconnection Fees used to offset the purchase and hauling of water for the years 2009, 2010 and 2011.
- 1.5: Please provide documentation that would justify keeping the entire Community of MdC at a Stage 3 level from May of 2009 until November of 2011 and provide proof that your public notices were given and posted for any other stage during that period.
- 1.6: Referencing the PWC 2009 MDC system Annual Report. That report shows that PWC sold 17,346,000 gallons. The total water purchase and the pumped water show 16,570,800 gallons. Provide the documentation and the accounting method used to sell more water (775,200 gallons) than you pumped and purchased from Water Sharing Agreement Wells or any other source.
- **1.6.1:** Please provide the accounting method used to show the difference between purchased water and hauled water.
- 1.7: Referencing the PWC 2010 MDC system Annual Report. That report shows that PWC sold 14,714,000 gallons. The total water purchased and pumped show 15,172,000. Please provide the documentation and the accounting method used to purchase and produce more water (458,000 gallons) than you sold; explain the surplus via worksheet; and why BUI/PWC had to haul water to the MDC System during 2010.
- 1.7.1: On page 8 under Comparative Statement of Income and Expense Item "Acct. No. 610" Purchased Water shows a total water purchase of \$24,322.00. Provide a worksheet of the "Hardcastle Method" to haul water when well production exceeded gallons sold.

- 1.7.2: On page 8 under Comparative Statement of Income and Expense Item "Acct. No. 675" Miscellaneous Expense" please provide an explanation of that expense and please explain what is meant by Non-System Expenses and what those expenses are for?
- 1.8: Provide a detailed management guideline by BUI/PWC that is used to monitor water levels of the MDC System for the sole purpose of moving from Stage 1 thru any other Stage and back again.
- 1.8.1: Provide a detailed management guideline by BUI/PWC that is used in conjunction to haul water in the event that the system goes into Stage 3 thru 5.
 - 1.8.2: Provide a detailed management guideline by BUI/PWC that is used to stop the hauling of water.
- 1.8.3: Provide a copy of all phone calls, emails, records or contact with Pearson Water Co. by any of BUI or PWC agents or employees particularly Mr. David Allred for the dispatch of water tankers to haul water to the various systems needing Augmentation for the Augmentation Period between May and October 2011.
- 1.9: Provide all records or documents of any verbal agreements or written contracts between BUI or PWC and Pearson Water Co. for the specific purpose of hauling water for Payson Water Co. Inc. Water Systems.
- 1.10: Please explain why, your Annual Reports for 2010 and 2011 show no water purchases for the EVP System or other Systems and only to the MDC System when in fact water was hauled to the EVP System in both of those years.
- 1.10.1: Please produce a copy of the "Water Use Data Sheet by Month for Calendar year 2011" for the Mesa del Caballo System and explain why it was left out of PWC's Annual Report?
- 1.11: All of the Water Storage Facilities were originally set up by UMAS/United Utilities so that the Fire Department could access them in times of a Fire Emergency. Once BUI obtained the MDC System you denied the Fire Department access to those water resources for Fire Emergencies. Please explain and justify why you have denied them access after obtaining the System?
- 1.11.1: As part of your plan to either Drill Deep Wells or connect to the C. C. Craigen Pipeline you have budgeted or included in your estimates of cost \$221,000.00 for a 200,000 gallon Storage Facility. Is it that facility necessary and is it your intention to deny the Fire Department access to those additional resources for fire suppression purposes as well?
- 1.11.2: Is the estimated cost of the Storage Facility the actual cost or does it include a built in profit margin?
- 1.12: Upon PWC's application to the ACC for approval of a Water Augmentation Surcharge Tariff you submitted various Invoices from Pearson Water Co. for the hauling of water from distant locations including Indian Creek, Tonto, Starlight, Gisela to Mesa del Caballo and East Verde Park.

- 1.12.1: Please produce documentation confirming the exact locations and name of the Systems where water was obtained from Indian Creek, Tonto, Starlight and Gisela and explain why you hauled water from such distant locations instead of from your Star Valley System or the Town of Payson.
- **1.12.2:** Please provide record of total costs to haul water just to the MDC System for the years 2009 through 2011?
- 1.12.3: Pearson Water Co. charges \$150.00 per hour to haul while other Transport Companies charge \$80.00 to \$85.00 per hour. Has the Company tried to contact other Transport Companies to get better rates? If not, why not?
- **1.12.4:** Please provide copies of Invoices No. 8805, 8806, 8809, 8810, 8813, 8814, 8817, 8818, 8820, 8821, 8824, 8825 and their corresponding "BUI Hauling Logs"?
- 1.13: Provide a full and complete Copy of your Emergency Procedures Manual.
- 1.14: Provide copy of the notice presented to the Company by the ACC to turn the Complainant's water back on and provide an explanation as to why you or the Company refused to turn it back on.
 - 1.14.1: Provide proof of the Stage when the meter was read including the time and date.
 - 1.14.2: At what time on June 6, 2011 was water hauling initiated during Stage 3?
 - 1.14.3: Identify the time, date and employee, who turned the water off.
- 1.14.4: Produce any documentation that any attempt was made by the Company to notify the Customer in person or by telephone that the Service was to be terminated.
- 1.14.5: Where is the Customer Service Center located for Payson Water Co. Inc. or Brooke Utilities, Inc. and who is the Director or Superintendent of the Customer Service Center?
- 1.14.6: Identify "High Water Use" for Customers of the MDC System and does the Company have a policy to notify Customers of High Water Use by Mail or any other means.
- 1.14.7: Since BUI and Payson Water Co. Inc. acquired the MDC System from United Utilities how often has the hauling of water been necessary and for what reasons and how much money has been spent to haul water since 2009.
 - 1.14.8: Produce documentation evidencing the cost of water hauling for the years 2005 through 2011.
- 1.14.9: What are the current "Static Levels" of the Company and WSA Wells in the MDC System and how do they differ from the 2009 levels?

- 1.14.10: At the Hearing held on June 26 and 27, 2012 in Docket No. 03514A-12-0008 Mr. Allred claimed the MDC System is "dilapidated" and that "aging wells" are not capable of keeping up with Customer Consumption.
- 1.14.10.1: If the System is "dilapidated" why has the Company not made improvements or conducted necessary maintenance to upgrade or repair the System to make it more efficient and productive?
- 1.14.10.2: If the System is "dilapidated" and "aging wells" are not as productive as they have been in the past then why hasn't the Company cleaned the wells out by "Hydro-Fracking" and acquired a second opinion on the issue of "Hydro-Fracking" from someone who does "Hydro-Fracking" like Chris Miller of Aero Drilling in Payson?
- 1.15: Who is responsible for the collection of fines and their disperse or application to offset Water Augmentation costs, who is responsible for the refunds of fines and provide identification of the "interest bearing trust account" set up by the Company to collect and disperse the funds. Provide proof that such funds were dispersed to off set Augmentation Costs.
- 1.15.1: Do the costs to haul water between 2009 and 2011exceed the actual and un-inflated cost to drill a well 400 to 900 feet?
- 1.16: Provide documentation that the Aquifer under Mesa del Caballo is inadequate to maintain water for the Customers of Payson Water Co. Inc. MDC System.

END OF 1ST SET OF DATA REQUESTS TO HARDCASTLE

Brooke Utilities, Inc. 2011 ACC Water Staging Notice Report Date: 6-Jun-11 Time:

	52]
,	1:52
;	
3	
your nav	
5	me:
ź	Ξ

4 Hour Stage 5 Notice																	
6 Hour Stage 4 Notice	×																
6 Hour Stage 3 Notice																	
12 Hour Stage 2 Notice	00000000000000000000000000000000000000																**************************************
Stage 1 Notice																	
Water System	MdC	WP	EVP	GE/EA	FS	MR	DC	SV	TCS	LRGW/WS	LGRE	NBE	RLE	CF	SP	đ	LE
Water Company	Payson	Payson	Payson	Payson	Payson	Payson	Payson	Payson	Payson	Tonto Basin	Navajo	Navajo	Navajo				

Payson Water - Mesa del Caballo System Docket No. W-03514A-10-0116

Schedule DRE-2

				III izariji
а	Maximum Daily Water to be Hauled (in gallons)		86,400	
b	Maximum Amount Hauled Per Truck (in gallons)		6,500	
C	Maximum Truck Loads per Day (a / b)		13.29	•
d	Truck Rental Fee per Hour	\$	150.00	
е	Time Required per Delivery (in hours)		1.2	
f	Maximum Truck Costs per day (c x d x e)	\$	2,392.62	
g	Water rate from City of Payson (per thousand gallons)	\$	5.99	
h	Maximum Water Costs per day (a /1000 x g)	\$.	517.54	
i	Maximum Monthly Water Hauling Cost (f + h)	\$	2,910.16	
j	Average Days per Month (May to September)		30.6	
k	Maximum Monthly Water Hauling Cost (ixj)	\$	89,050.94	
ł	Maximum Total Water Sold (a x j)		2,643,840	
m	Maximum Water Hauling Rate per thousand (k / l x 1000)	\$	33.68	